

KCAG REAP 2.0 Guidelines

LOCAL SUBALLOCATION GRANT PROGRAM



~~August 26, 2024~~ Revised: August 27, 2025



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1	Overview	1
	1a. Background	1
	1b. REAP 2.0 Program Objectives	1
Section 2	Eligibility.....	2
	2a. Allocation Methodology and Eligible Applicants.....	3
	2b. Eligible Applicants and Partnerships	3
	2c. Eligible Uses and Activities	4
	2d. Ineligible Activities.....	5
Section 3	Application Process	7
	3a. Applying for Funding	7
	3b. Unallocated Funds	7
	3c. Funding Criteria	7
Section 4	Contract Administration.....	9
	4a. Implementing Agencies	9
	4b. Administrative Fee.....	9
	4c. Expenditure Period	9
	4d. Reimbursement	9
	4e. Reporting Requirements	10
	4f. Technical Assistance	10
	4g. Timeline	10
Section 5	Local Suballocation Grant Program Scoring Criteria and Methodology	11
	5a. REAP 2.0 Objectives.....	11
	5b. Primary Factors.....	12
	5c. Secondary Factors	13
	5d. Local Suballocation Grant Program Criteria	14

SECTION 1 – OVERVIEW

REAP 2021 (REAP 2.0) was established through AB 140 (July 2021), and later revised through AB 166 (2024), as part of the mid-year budget revise for the State’s FY 21-22 budget. REAP 2.0 seeks to accelerate infill housing development, reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), increase housing supply at all affordability levels, affirmatively further fair housing, and facilitate the implementation of adopted regional and local plans to achieve these goals. REAP 2.0 is administered by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) in collaboration with the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), the Strategic Growth Council (SGC), and the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

1a. Background

Approximately \$560 million is available statewide to support transformative and innovative projects that implement a region’s Sustainable Communities Strategy and help achieve goals of more housing and transportation options that reduce reliance on cars. The State will allocate approximately \$1,939,379 million to Kings County Association of Governments (KCAG). An Advance Application was submitted by KCAG for \$206,059 to fund administration of grant funds, development of the local REAP 2.0 Program, public education and stakeholder outreach, and preparation of the Full Application for the remainder of the funds. The full application was posted on KCAG’s website and available to the public with sufficient time to comment.

1b. REAP 2.0 Program Objectives

The REAP 2.0 Local Suballocation Grant Program (LSGP) must meet the REAP 2.0 objectives which are:

1. Accelerating Infill Development that Facilitates Housing Supply, Choice, and Affordability *
 - Accelerates infill development that facilitates housing supply, choice, and affordability and AFFH through various planning programs, services, or capital expenditures in a way that reduces VMT and greenhouse gas emissions.
2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)
 - Combats discrimination, overcomes patterns of segregation, and fosters equitable and inclusive communities.
 - Includes meaningful actions to address disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replace segregated living patterns with balanced living patterns, and transform racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity; targets funding to benefit disadvantaged and historically underserved communities.
 - Enhances mobility or place-based strategies benefiting housing that meets AFFH goals, or protects existing residents from displacement, or preserves housing choices and affordability in areas of lower- or moderate-opportunity and concentrated poverty.
 - Supports regional and local efforts to work toward ensuring all people have full and equal access to opportunities enabling them to lead healthy lives.

3. Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled

- Supports new infill housing development and shifts travel away from driving by implementing or supporting pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and other alternative transportation programs.
- Realizes multimodal communities through programs, plans, and implementation actions.
- Shifts travel behavior by reducing driving through programs, ordinances, funds, and other mechanisms.
- Increases transit ridership through funding, implementation actions, and planning.

*The REAP 2.0 Infill definition pursuant to State guidelines provides that:

“Infill”, for the purposes of the REAP 2.0 Program, means areas where all the following apply: (1) the area consists of unused or underutilized lands, (2) within existing development patterns, and (3) that is or will be accessible to destinations and daily services by transit, walking, or bicycling and located in either:

1. An urban center, urban corridor, or area with transit-supportive densities, or
2. An established community that meets all the following criteria:
 - i. The area consists or previously consisted of qualified urban uses
 - ii. The area is predominantly surrounded (approximately 75 percent of the perimeter) by parcels that are developed or previously developed with qualified urban uses. In counting this, perimeters bordering navigable bodies of water and improved parks shall not be included, and
 - iii. No parcel within or adjoining the area is classified as agricultural or natural and working lands.



SECTION 2 – ELIGIBILITY

KCAG’s REAP 2.0 LSGP is a non-competitive suballocation of funds. Local jurisdictions proposing projects which meet the core REAP 2.0 objectives such as improving housing affordability, increasing housing production, establishing housing closer to jobs, reducing VMT, addressing housing and infrastructure needs in all communities, advancing AFFH and promoting multimodal communities will be eligible to receive grant funds.

The REAP 2.0 LSGP program has a thresholding criteria which mirror REAP 2.0 objectives to assure funded projects meet all REAP 2.0 goals, objectives, and scoring criteria. Project metrics will assist in the project selection process including screening or threshold criteria to focus funding in existing urban areas, criteria for infill and measuring how projects reduce VMT, whether projects implement the KCAG Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS), and criteria to evaluate whether projects are meeting AFFH goals. All project screening and threshold criteria will be grounded in the REAP 2.0 guidance on program goals and objectives.

2a. Allocation Methodology and Eligible Applicants

Based on KCAG’s full application approved by HCD, a suballocation total of up to \$1,733,320 is expected to be available for the LSGP. Jurisdictions and eligible entities within the KCAG region are eligible for funding if an eligible project can be proposed. Funding shall be disbursed on a non-competitive basis based on whether applicants achieve core REAP 2.0 objectives and pass threshold criteria.

The maximum amount that may be applied for by eligible jurisdictions and entities within the KCAG region are shown in the following Table 1:

Table 1: Local Suballocation Grant Program Allocation

Jurisdiction	Minimum	50% Population	50% RHNA	Total	Percentage
Avenal	\$100,000	\$54,611	\$18,117	\$172,728	10.0%
Corcoran	\$100,000	\$87,555	\$46,761	\$234,317	13.5%
Hanford	\$100,000	\$240,481	\$362,775	\$703,256	40.6%
Lemoore	\$100,000	\$108,654	\$152,315	\$360,969	20.8%
County	\$100,000	\$125,359	\$36,691	\$262,050	15.1%
Total	\$500,000	\$616,660	\$616,660	\$1,733,320	100.0%

2b. Eligible Applicants and Partnerships

Two or more eligible applicants can apply together in one application. Eligible applicants include, but are not limited to, other local governments, housing authorities, school districts, special districts, universities, transit agencies, and community-based organizations. An eligible applicant may partner with other eligible entities to fulfill one or more projects included in the application. One or more applicants may form a partnership to expend part, or all, of a recipient’s LSGP funding.

Applicants forming partnerships must provide a legally binding agreement between all partners. Applicants that are considering this are encouraged to discuss their proposals with KCAG staff prior to applying to ensure projects are eligible and the work can be completed prior to the end of the expenditure period.

2c. Eligible Uses and Activities

To receive funding, applicants must:

1. Submit a qualifying application that is approved by KCAG. Applicants are not required to directly implement projects and may contract for services following state requirements, and when applicable, federal requirements. Applicants are not required to apply for all funds available.
2. Demonstrate a nexus to all three REAP 2.0 Program Objectives for each project proposed:
 - a. Accelerating Infill Development that Facilitates Housing Supply, Choice, and Affordability
 - Accelerating infill development that improves housing supply, choice, and affordability through planning programs, services, or capital expenditures in a way that reduces VMT and greenhouse gas emissions, and goes beyond existing commitments.
 - b. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)
 - Combats discrimination, overcomes patterns of segregation, and fosters equitable and inclusive communities.
 - Includes meaningful actions to address disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replace segregated living patterns with balanced living patterns, and transform racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity; targets funding to benefit disadvantaged and historically underserved communities.
 - Enhances mobility or place-based strategies benefitting housing that meets AFFH goals, or protects existing residents from displacement, or preserves housing choices and affordability in areas of lower- or moderate-opportunity and concentrated poverty.
 - Support regional and local efforts to work toward ensuring all people have full and equal access to opportunities enabling them to lead healthy lives.
 - c. Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

- Supports new infill housing development and shifts travel away from driving by implementing or supporting pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and other alternative transportation programs.
 - Realizes multimodal communities through programs, plans, and implementation actions.
 - Shifts travel behavior by reducing driving through programs, ordinances, funds, and other mechanisms.
 - Increases transit ridership through funding, implementation actions, and planning.
3. Demonstrate project is eligible for funding and describe how the project meets objectives in Section 1, REAP 2.0 Program Objectives. Based on the final State REAP 2.0 Program Guidelines (see Section 204(E), pages 11-12), example activities include, but are not limited to the following:
- Affordable housing development programs
 - Planning activities that lead to increased residential and/or mixed-use zoned capacity in areas identified as infill, or land use planning, related studies and/or programs that result in implementable/adoptable programs and policies (meaning subject to adoption or approval of the legislative body) required to meet programs, projects, and commitments in draft, adopted and/or compliant 6th Cycle Housing Elements
 - Upgrading infrastructure for sewer, water, and dry utility systems that may serve affordable infill housing
 - Housing mobility strategies that serve affordable housing
 - Affordable housing production
 - Reductions to barriers to higher density housing, buildings with four or more units, and accessibility
 - Outreach activities to address local opposition to Proposed use
 - Affordable housing unit production or preservation
 - Anti-displacement protections
 - Expanded housing options
 - Housing-supportive infrastructure
 - Activities that develop, support, or implement land use planning, policies, or investment strategies that result in substantial changes to travel behavior from increasing population and employment densities, land use mix, street network connectivity, linkages and pathways with active transportation infrastructure, accessibility between destinations, and/or contiguousness of land uses and transportation networks
 - Activities, subject to application program funding requirements, that would develop, support, or implement transportation planning, policies, and investment strategies that support infill development that facilitates housing supply, choice, and affordability, such as increasing transit service and access
 - Building at walkable community scales
 - Enhancing pedestrian and bicycling safety measures
 - Protecting pedestrian and bicycling amenities
 - Multimodal infrastructure connections with multimodal-mobility transportation systems.
4. Submit a complete application along with all required supporting documents within the application period. See Section 3 for information on applying for funding.

2d. Ineligible Activities

Ineligible activities include those uses that are inconsistent with REAP 2.0 goals and objectives. Based on the final State REAP 2.0 Program Guidelines (see Section 205(E), pages 12-13), example activities include, but are not limited to the following:

- Roadway or highway capacity increases
- Advocacy work (direct lobbying for specific bills or local propositions)
- Bonus payments of any kind
- Ceremonial expenses
- Commission fees
- Real estate brokerage fees or expenses
- Services, materials, or equipment obtained under any other state program
- Stewardship of legal defense funds
- General meetings that do not specifically discuss or advance implementation of awarded REAP 2.0 funds
- Using funds for mitigation activities already mandated by local or state governing bodies or agencies
- Ongoing expenses (e.g., routine maintenance or operations of transportation infrastructure associated with transit service expansion)
- Costs associated with automobile or motorcycle parking (excluding EV charging infrastructure). Proposed uses with a surface parking component are not eligible.
- Costs associated with infrastructure related to fossil fuels, including connections to natural gas infrastructure
- Costs associated with ongoing provisions of internet service
- In-lieu fees for local inclusionary housing programs
- Updates to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)
- Organizational membership fees
- Street construction or repair to benefit vehicular traffic
- Other items unrelated to the REAP 2.0 Program or application

KCAG will not accept applications for, nor reimbursement for, ineligible activities or projects. Expenditure reimbursement requires prior authorization in writing from KCAG for the eligible projects and activities. KCAG may be subject to repayment of REAP 2.0 funds to HCD if it is found in breach of its agreement with HCD, which can occur if REAP 2.0 funds are used for ineligible activities. If KCAG is required to repay funds to HCD, KCAG will require reimbursement from the LSGP recipient.

SECTION 3 – APPLICATION PROCESS

All activities proposed must meet the requirements established in these guidelines and the State’s REAP 2.0 Program Guidelines.

3a. Applying for Funding

Process and Timing:

The application period will be opened on August 26, 2024 and closes at 5:00 PM PST on October 25, 2024.

Application Submittal and Review:

The application must include information on proposed projects and activities, key tasks and deliverables, estimated costs, and identification of the implementing agency for each project and activity, among other requirements provided in the application template.

Once applications are reviewed, KCAG will provide an award letter to the eligible applicant indicating whether the submitted projects are approved for funding, or a letter indicating how the application needs to be revised to be accepted. Projects that do not meet the funding criteria cannot be funded through the REAP 2.0 LSGP. An applicant may revise its application or project scope to meet the funding criteria and resubmit the application up until the final due date.

Applications for ineligible activities or projects that do not meet the funding criteria in Section 1 will not be accepted. Applicants may resubmit revised projects that address the reasons the original submittal was rejected.

Applications will be accepted until the final date to apply, which is by 5:00 PM PST on October 25, 2024.

Given the schedule requirements of the REAP 2.0 Guidelines toward suballocations, if successful application is submitted, conditional award letters shall be distributed within 60 days of a complete application received from an applicant for a suballocation (not inclusive of encumbrance or an executed Standard Agreement).

3b. Unallocated Funds

Remaining funds that are unencumbered after the project completion will be available for qualifying projects in the KCAG Region at KCAG’s discretion through ~~March 30~~ September 30, 2026.

3c. Funding Criteria

Funding applications are required to meet all three REAP 2.0 Objectives (Section 1 of the Guidelines) and shall be evaluated for funding based on the LSGP Methodology listed at the end of this document, including but not limited to the following criteria:

- Are the proposed projects eligible uses and activities (Section 2c)?
- Is a clear statement included demonstrating a nexus between each proposed project (or activity) and all three of the following state program objectives?
 - Accelerating Infill Development that Facilitates Housing Supply, Choice, and Affordability; and
 - Affirmatively Further Fair Housing; and

- Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled
- Does the project support compliance with a jurisdiction's housing element?
- Does the project provide transformative planning and/or implementation activities?
- Does the project target funding to benefit disadvantaged and historically underserved communities?
- Does the project lead to substantial changes in land use patterns and travel behaviors?
- Does the project support California Planning Priorities? This may include promotion of infill development, supporting environmental and agricultural resources, and/or encouraging efficient development patterns.

SECTION 4 – CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

4a. Implementing Agencies

All eligible applicants are required to serve as the implementing agency of the projects and activities applied for. Implementing agencies must be entities eligible to enter into intergovernmental agreements and must demonstrate a capacity to implement government grant administration tasks in a timely manner, including applications, contract execution and monitoring, funds management and transfer, and accounting and reporting, including and competitive sub-contracting if applicable. The implementing agency cannot have any unresolved audit finding from prior government contracts and cannot be party to pending land use, housing, or environmental litigation which could impact the proposed activities.

The implementing agency will be responsible for developing the scope of work for the project or activity and leading the procurement process for obtaining consultants and/or resources. The implementing agency must also manage and administer the project, which includes tasks such as monitoring activity progress, reviewing tasks and deliverables, and reviewing and processing invoices.

4b. Administrative Fee

None of a jurisdiction's or eligible applicant's allocation may be charged as administrative activities by the jurisdiction or eligible applicants. Tasks such as reviewing and processing project invoices, processing contract amendments, and preparing reports and metrics of project progress and completion are considered administrative activities. Activities such as developing scopes of work and requests for proposals (RFP), reviewing tasks and deliverables, and outreach with jurisdictions related to the project are considered programmatic and can be charged as a program activity.

4c. Expenditure Period

Per the requirements of AB 140 (2021) and AB 166 (2024), all funds distributed under REAP 2.0 must be expended by ~~June 30~~ December 31, 2026. To meet this deadline and receive reimbursement in alignment with REAP 2.0 guidelines, all invoices associated with LSGP must be submitted to KCAG no later than ~~March 30~~ September 30, 2026. Invoices received by KCAG after this date cannot be guaranteed for reimbursement.

4d. Reimbursement

All projects must submit invoices and a status report to KCAG quarterly to receive reimbursement. Invoices must follow the requirements set for in the Grant Agreement and KCAG's regular invoicing procedures and must comply with applicable state and/or federal requirements. Expenditure reimbursement requires prior authorization of the eligible projects and activities, and KCAG may be subject to repayment of REAP funds to HCD if it is found in breach of its agreement with HCD, which can occur if REAP funds are used for ineligible activities. If KCAG must repay REAP 2.0 funds to the state, KCAG will require reimbursement from the eligible applicant or jurisdiction.

KCAG will provide reimbursement only for costs as specified in the signed agreement(s) with the jurisdictions or eligible applicants or designee. Project costs incurred prior to July 1, 2021 will not be reimbursed.



4e. Reporting Requirements

Consistent with KCAG’s Overall Work Program reporting procedures, each jurisdictional partner will be required to provide a quarterly progress report and quarterly invoices to track and measure activity progress. Project progress will be measured according to the tasks, deliverables, costs, and timeline outlined in the Grant Agreement.

4f. Technical Assistance

KCAG and Civic Solutions staff will provide technical assistance to eligible applicants throughout the entire application timeframe. Technical assistance will be available to help local jurisdictions and partners prioritize activities and complete the application and contracting process. After the start of projects, KCAG staff will be available for guidance with invoicing and reporting.

4g. Timeline

The following timeline outlines key dates for the REAP 2.0 LSGP. The time is subject to change, so please visit the online webpage or contact us for the latest updates.

August 26, 2024	Release of Call for Applications
September 18, 2024	Application Workshop
October 25, 2024	Final application and list of prioritized projects from eligible applicants due to KCAG
November 4-15, 2024	Grant applications are reviewed and scored
December 11, 2024	KCAG approves applications and awards REAP 2.0 funds
January 2025	Execute grant agreements with awardees
March 30 <u>September 30</u> , 2026	All REAP 2.0 projects and activities must be completed; final activity reports due to KCAG; final invoices due to KCAG

SECTION 5 – LOCAL SUBALLOCATION GRANT PROGRAM SCORING CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY

KCAG staff developed criteria for the Local Suballocation Grant Program based on the REAP 2.0 Final Guidelines for Metropolitan Planning Organization and direction from the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD).

5a. REAP 2.0 Objectives

The REAP 2.0 program has three objectives that each project must satisfy. The application scoring process will determine the degree to which each respective project satisfies each objective. The objectives include the following and associated questions that should be considered when scoring applications are provided.

A. Accelerating Infill Development that Facilitates Housing Supply, Choice, and Affordability

1. Does the project accelerate infill development near jobs and other key destinations to support housing choice and affordability that effectively reduces VMT and greenhouse gas emissions?
2. Is the project at an infill site and does it have affordability components that serve low- and moderate-income households?
3. Is the project for affordable housing development programs – which may involve predevelopment costs (studies, land acquisition, entitlements, etc.), that accelerate the supply of long-term affordable housing for lower and moderate-income households?

B. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)

1. Does the project combat discrimination, overcome patterns of segregation, and foster equitable and inclusive communities?
2. Does the project include meaningful actions to address disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replace segregated living patterns with balanced living patterns, and transform racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity?
3. Does the project support regional and local efforts to work toward ensuring all people have full and equal access to opportunities enabling them to lead healthy lives?
4. Does the project:
 - a. Enhance housing mobility strategies that remove barriers to housing, housing-supportive infrastructure, increased transportation options, and affordability in areas of high opportunity and outside areas of concentrated poverty; or
 - b. Improve place-based strategies to encourage community revitalization; or
 - c. Protect existing residents from displacement and preserve housing choices and affordability in areas of lower- or moderate-opportunity and concentrated poverty?
5. Is the project materially inconsistent with AFFH?
6. Geographic equity – will be addressed post initial scoring.

7. Does the project target funding to benefit disadvantaged and historically underserved communities?
8. Unique equity priorities – weighting of scores addresses unique equity priorities.

C. Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

1. Does the applicant explain how the proposed use promotes development and aligns housing production in infill locations consistent with the state’s climate targets and goals discussed in the California Climate Change Scoping Plan?
2. Is the project consistent with the KCAG Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) through:
 - a. Land use planning, policies, and investment strategies by infill development that facilitates housing supply, choice, and affordability and is serviced by existing and planned expansions of a multimodal transportation system; or
 - b. Transportation planning, policies, and investment strategies by increasing travel options to homes, jobs, services, and other key destinations that provide, support, or enhance multimodal communities and reduce the number or length of vehicle trips?
3. Does the project support new housing development and shift travel away from driving by implementing or supporting pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and other alternative transportation programs?

5b. Primary Factors

The primary factors represent factors from the REAP 2.0 guidelines. In addition to providing a primary factor score, the application narratives associated with the primary factors may inform scoring for the REAP 2.0 objectives portion of the scoring matrix. Each primary factor is described below.

A. Housing Element Compliance

1. Does the project support compliance with a jurisdiction’s housing element?

B. Transformative Planning and Implementation Activities

1. Does the project provide for housing, planning, or infrastructure investments supporting infill housing, and other actions that enable meeting housing goals that also result in per capita vehicle miles traveled reductions, including accelerating infill development, supporting residents through realizing multimodal communities, shifting travel behavior through reducing driving, and increasing transit ridership?

C. Public Outreach

1. Did the proposed project arise from or go through a public process to solicit community input?
2. If this is a planning project, does the scope of work include a public process?

D. Benefit to Disadvantaged Populations

1. Does the project target funding to benefit disadvantaged and historically underserved communities?

E. Significant Beneficial Impacts

1. Does the project lead to substantial changes in land use patterns and travel behaviors, demonstrated by:
 - a. A rate of change compared to the baseline; or
 - b. The magnitude of impact relative to variables or targets; or
 - c. The proportion of need achieved; or
 - d. The impact relative to past trends, policies, and practices?

F. California Planning Priorities

1. Does the project support promotion of infill development and equity by rehabilitating, maintaining, and improving existing infrastructure that supports infill development and appropriate reuse and redevelopment of previously developed, underutilized land that is presently served by transit, streets, water, sewer and other essential services, particularly in underserved areas, and to preserve cultural and historic resources?
2. Does the project support protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting, preserving, and enhancing the state’s most valuable natural resources, including working landscapes such as farm, range, and forest lands; natural lands such as wetlands, watersheds, wildlife habitats, and other wildlands; recreation lands such as parks, trails, greenbelts, and other open space; and landscapes with locally unique features and areas identified by the state as deserving special protection?
3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that any infrastructure associated with development, other than infill development, supporting new development that does all of the following:
 - a. Uses land efficiently; and
 - b. Is built adjacent to existing developed areas to the extent consistent with #2, above; and
 - c. Is located in an area appropriately planned for growth; and
 - d. Is served by adequate transportation and other essential utilities and services; and
 - e. Minimizes ongoing costs to taxpayers?

5c. Secondary Factors

A variety of secondary factors can be considered in the scoring process. These are not tied directly to the REAP 2.0 program but demonstrate the application’s thoroughness and project deliverability.

A. Project Scope of Work and Budget

1. Is a project scope of work and budget provided that demonstrates an understanding of the work and financial considerations required to deliver the project?

B. Project Risk Assessment

1. Has the applicant thoroughly considered risks associated with delivering the project consistent with the scope of work and budget?
2. Has the applicant demonstrated realistic means for mitigating risk associated with delivering the project?
3. How has the applicant demonstrated reasonable assurance that the project can meet REAP 2.0 statutory deadlines?

Risks alone should not result in a deficiency, but should be considered if they are unmitigated, not fully understood, or unmanageable.

C. Local Support: Inclusion in Plan and Letters of Support

Projects proposing to construct something typically are drawn from adopted plans. While planning projects are also an eligible use of REAP 2.0 funds, planning projects cannot be held to the same scrutiny. If a project proposing to construct something does not indicate inclusion in an adopted plan, this should be considered in the risk assessment and public outreach sections of the scoring matrix.

Letters of support are not an application requirement. They can influence scores to the extent that they add value to any other response.

5d. Local Suballocation Grant Program Criteria

Local Suballocation Project elements will be rated based on a weighted scoring criteria. Projects will be scored in three areas: REAP 2.0 objectives, primary factors, and secondary factors. Each is described below. Any projects that do not meet one or more of the core three REAP 2.0 objectives or goals will be rejected. Draft scoring will be based on a scale of 0 to 5. Projects that meet all REAP 2.0 objectives and goals will be considered for approval as eligible projects.

Scoring:

Scores should be assigned on a 0 to 5 basis. Scoring committee scores will be averaged and converted to project rankings. Therefore, consistency is necessary for each scorer, but not the scoring committee as a whole.

- 5: Exceptional evidence of meeting or exceeding requirements
- 4: Strong evidence of meeting requirements
- 3: Suitable evidence of meeting requirements
- 2: Limited evidence of meeting requirements
- 1: Weak evidence of meeting requirements
- 0: No evidence of meeting requirements (automatic project disqualification for any REAP objective)



Weighting:

The REAP 2.0 program requires public input received during the outreach process to influence the project selection process. This is accomplished through the weighting of scoring categories.

Scoring Factor	Weight (%)	Max. Score
REAP 2.0 Objectives		
1. Accelerating Infill Development	0.27	13.5
2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	0.23	11.5
3. Reducing VMT	0.25	12.5
Subtotal	0.75	37.5
Primary Factors		
1. Housing Element Compliance	0.02	1.0
2. Transformative Planning and Implementation Activities	0.04	2.0
3. Public Outreach	0.03	1.5
4. Benefit to Disadvantage Populations	0.05	2.5
5. Significant Beneficial Impacts	0.04	2.0
6. California Planning Priorities	0.02	1.0
Subtotal	0.20	10.0
Secondary Factors		
1. Scope of Work and Budget	0.03	1.5
2. Project Risk Assessment	0.02	1.0
Subtotal	0.05	2.5
Total	1.00	50.0

Subsequent Steps:

The project selection process will involve three steps. This document focuses primarily on the first step.

1. The scoring committee assigns scores to each application. The scores for each project are averaged.
2. The averaged scores will be presented to the REAP Technical Advisory Committee (RTAC). RTAC will work to ensure geographic equity and the split between planning and capital projects is incorporated into the projects recommended for funding. RTAC will make a formal recommendation to the KCAG Commission.
3. The KCAG Commission will consider the scoring committee and the RTAC and approve the suite of projects selected in the full application.